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1.0. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of three-dimensional (3D) computer graphics is to generate the best possible rendition of 3D objects on a two-dimensional (2D) computer screen (or other output device). Good rendition is achieved by accurately modeling the physical properties (geometry, shading, color, texture, etc.) of 3D scenes and objects. Better modeling accuracy generates better images, but requires more computation. Processing power is presently the limiting factor in 3D image quality, especially for 3D animation, which requires scenes to be computed and rendered in fixed, small amounts of time. Any increase in processing power can enable more complex scenes, better detailed objects, and/or smoother animation.

The Intel Architecture MMX technology provides a significant increase in processing power, through the use of its new single-instruction multiple-data (SIMD) extensions. This application note discusses, and presents examples of, how to exploit these instructions to accelerate one aspect of computer graphics: 3D geometry. MMX technology is shown to be a good fit for 3D geometry calculations.

The following sections:
· Review the mathematics of 3D geometry
· Discuss mapping the calculations onto the MMX instruction set
· Show the details of code optimization
· Demonstrate the results
## 2.0. REVIEW OF 3D GEOMETRY

Common geometrical transforms include:

- Translation (change of position)
- Rotation (change of angle)
- Scale (change of size)
- Shear (linearly dependent change of position).

It is convenient to write the transform of points in terms of matrix multiplication of vectors:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\text{Transform} \\
\text{Matrix}
\end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix}
\text{Original} \\
\text{Vector}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\text{Transformed} \\
\text{Vector}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

For 3D space, each transform has a component in each of the three dimensions (\(x, y\) and \(z\)), and can be written as a 4x4 matrix (see Figure 1). Vector size is chosen to be 4x1 \(([x, y, z, 1]^T)\), to match the 4x4 matrix size.

### Figure 1. Transform Matrices for Each 3D Geometry Component

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transform (T)</th>
<th>Scale (S)</th>
<th>Shear (H)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| \( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
| 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
| 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
| 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \) | \( \begin{bmatrix} Sx & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
| 0 & Sy & 0 & 0 \\
| 0 & 0 & Sz & 0 \\
| 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \) | \( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & Hxy & Hxz & 0 \\
| 0 & Hyx & 1 & Ryz \\
| Hxz & Hyx & 1 & 0 \\
| 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \) |

Rotation about x (Rx):

\( \begin{bmatrix} Cx & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
| 0 & Cx & 0 & 0 \\
| 0 & 0 & Cx & 0 \\
| 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \)

Rotation about y (Ry):

\( \begin{bmatrix} Cy & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
| Cy & Cx & 0 & 0 \\
| 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
| 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \)

Rotation about z (Rz):

\( \begin{bmatrix} Cz & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
| 0 & Cz & 0 & 0 \\
| 0 & 0 & Cz & 0 \\
| 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \)

All of these transforms can be combined into a single 4x4 matrix, by matrix multiplication:

\[
T \times S \times H \times Rx \times Ry \times Rz = M
\]

Large objects are modeled by many polygons (usually triangles or rectangles) which are, in turn, defined by their vertices (3D points). An entire object (or group of objects) is transformed by applying a given transform to each of its vertices. Thus it is efficient to calculate \( M \) once (per animation frame and/or per object), then apply its results to many vertices:

\[
M \times V_{oi} = V_{ti}
\]

where \( V_{oi} \) is the i-th original vector, and \( V_{ti} \) is the i-th transformed vector.
Since the number of vertices \( i \) is typically large, 3D geometry performance becomes largely dependent on the speed of this series of matrix-vector multiplies, making matrix-vector multiplication a worthwhile candidate for MMX optimization.
3.0. MAPPING 3D GEOMETRY CALCULATIONS TO THE MMX™ INSTRUCTION SET

The transformation matrix $M$, original vector(s) $V_o$, and transformed vector(s) $V_t$, (described above) have the form shown in Figure 2.

Each matrix-vector multiplication amounts to a series of vector-vector (dot product) multiplications, each of which is a series of scalar multiplies and adds:

\[
\begin{align*}
X_t &= M_{00} \times X_o + M_{01} \times Y_o + M_{02} \times Z_o + M_{03} \times 1 \\
Y_t &= M_{10} \times X_o + M_{11} \times Y_o + M_{12} \times Z_o + M_{13} \times 1 \\
Z_t &= M_{20} \times X_o + M_{21} \times Y_o + M_{22} \times Z_o + M_{23} \times 1 \\
W &= M_{30} \times X_o + M_{31} \times Y_o + M_{32} \times Z_o + M_{33} \times 1
\end{align*}
\]

MMX technology provides acceleration through Single Instruction Multiple Data (SIMD) parallelism, where either two 32-bit, four 16-bit, or eight 8-bit integer values are operated on at once. SIMD multiplication and SIMD addition instructions are provided. Furthermore, one MMX instruction, PMADDWD, performs both multiply and add, on four 16-bit values simultaneously. This instruction is an excellent match to the four-element multiply/adds shown above. Its 16-bit integer precision is generally adequate (see 3.1. Precision and Scaling for more details).

Most of a vector-vector multiplication can be accomplished by a PMADDWD instruction. Since PMADDWD leaves the answer in two halves, a shift and an add instruction are used to complete the calculation. One vector-vector multiplication (for $X_t$) is diagrammed in Figure 3.

![Figure 3. MMX Register/Data Layout for Vector-Vector Multiplication](image-url)
At this point, the answer is 32 bits long (occupying bits 0-29, with sign extended in bits 0-31). A right-shift of (nominally) 15 bits is required to return this answer to the original 16-bit size. The least significant 15 bits are lost, leaving data in bits 0-15, and sign in bit 16. More details of this shift are discussed in the next section.

The final (scalar) sum is the first element of the transformed (result) vector. This sequence must be repeated for each matrix row, to generate each element of the transformed vector.

Notice that the last row of $M$ is essentially unused. (Occasionally this row is used in certain types of warping, but this is uncommon). This row (and its calculations) can be omitted, for about 25% savings in calculations.

Since $M$ is constant, its three rows can be loaded once, using 64-bit reads, and held in three 64-bit MMX registers (assuming that no better use is found for the three MMX registers.)

Each input vector ($V_o$) is read once, using a 64-bit read.

The results (16-bit integer elements of $V_t$) are written to memory individually. Memory writes from MMX registers are either 64- or 32-bit writes. 64-bit writes are no advantage in this algorithm because of the extra cycles that would be required to combine three or four 16-bit integers into a 64-bit word.

Pseudocode for the algorithm is shown in Figure 4.

**Figure 4. Pseudocode for the Matrix-Vector Multiply Routine**

```plaintext
MatrixVectMult (ptr to M, ptr to Vo, number of Vo, ptr to Vt)
  Get the passed parameters (pointers)
  Read Rows of M into MMX regs
  MxV:   Read Vo into MMX reg(s)
         Multiply M x Vo,
         Store Vt,
         Increment pointers (i) to next Vo, next Vt,
         Decrement vector counter
      If vectors remain, Jump to MxV:
End
```
The critical section is the 'MxV' loop. For a typical object with hundreds of polygons, it is repeated thousands of times. It must be as efficient (fast) as possible. This subject is discussed at length in Section 3.2.

### 3.1. Precision and Scaling

When using MMX technology for graphics applications, the limitations of integer arithmetic must be carefully considered and managed. The programmer may need to explicitly scale data at each step, to make full use of the available precision, while avoiding overflows. 16-bit integer precision is sufficient for most components of computer graphics: angles can be resolved to about 1/1000º, and screen coordinates to about 1/50 pixel.

16-bit precision is not sufficient for large global graphics databases where good accuracy must be maintained both for individual objects, and between objects at great distances apart. For large global graphics databases, 32-bit precision (or floating-point) is needed for the world space, while 16-bit precision is still adequate for local object coordinates. This scheme could be implemented in MMX technology by adding a 32-bit world space translation to the 32-bit results (see the last line of Figure 3). The 16-bit translation shown in this paper is essentially free, since the PMADDWD instruction operates on four elements at a time.

Typical 3D software (i.e., CAD and drawing) packages produce vertex and transform data in floating-point format, which must be converted to integer for MMX processing. Vertex data is converted once, with the integer values stored for repeated use. Transform data is better left in floating-point, so that it can be repeatedly modified without accumulating error. Each time the transform matrix is recalculated (i.e. once per object, per frame), the transform data is converted to integer (for the integer matrix $M$). Floating point is also advantageous for this job because standard sine and cosine routines are in floating point, and recalculating the matrix on the Pentium® processor is faster in floating point than in scalar integer.

The sample C language program shown in Section 4.1 uses a floating point space, with limits chosen so that matrix coefficients do not exceed approximately ±4.0. Vertex and matrix data sent to the signed 16-bit integer routine are re-scaled from ±4.0 to ±32K. As such, transformed vector data returned from the routine requires a re-scaling of *4, for best use of precision. This shift can be done in the routine for no extra cost, by adjusting the amount of shift in the 32-bit to 16-bit conversion (see Listing 1A, lines 20, 27, 34).

### 3.2. Manual Optimization

The speed of MMX routines, like other assembly code routines, can benefit greatly from hand optimization. This MMX routine benefited most from these optimizations:

**Pairing:**
Re-ordering instructions to make better use of both of the Pentium processor super-scalar pipelines. MMX instructions are similar to scalar integer instructions, in that they can be executed two at a time, if certain microarchitecture constraints are met. These constraints are codified into "pairing rules." Programmers can often make use of a pairing rules to pair, or "hide", one instruction's cycle time with another's.

**Data alignment:**
Ensuring that memory reads and writes do not cross their natural alignment boundaries. For instance, the
64-bit MOVD memory accesses should be done to 8-byte-aligned memory addresses. If a boundary is crossed, the routine stalls, while the processor makes an extra memory access.

**Loop unrolling:**
A loop is unrolled by writing it out "N" times, with each new, longer loop executed only 1/Nth as many times. Loop unrolling helps pair instructions at the (original) loop edges, and reduces the average cost-per-loop of jump instructions. This routine was eventually determined not to be a good candidate for loop unrolling, since most of its instructions were eventually paired, and the jump instruction is only 1/15th of the loop count (after the first mis-predicted jump). Since each of the three row calculations are independent, they can be interleaved within the loop (see Listing 3), accomplishing much of the benefit of loop unrolling.

### 3.3. Optimization Procedure

The general procedure is to first create a straightforward MMX routine, which is easy to understand and debug, then optimize that routine in successive steps. Correct operation is verified throughout the optimization process by comparing the results (e.g. hundreds of test vectors) of the MMX routine to a similar routine coded in the C language (see Appendix B).

As a further check, input data (matrix and vectors) are compared before and after the routine, to make sure they are not accidentally modified.

All input data is assumed to be in L1 cache, properly aligned. Such data is accessible with no memory stalls.

The Pentium processor's 16 Kbyte L1 data cache can hold input and output vertices for about 1000 polygons. While this is adequate for simple animation scenes, more complex applications require access to L2 cache or main memory.

Data alignment is easily achieved by declaring the critical arrays first, in Microsoft Visual C. A more robust method would be to explicitly check data alignment at run-time, and re-align it then, if necessary.

The hand optimization effort is concentrated on the critical loop discussed above. Any cycle time saved in this loop is greatly magnified, as the loop executes on the order of thousands of times. Code outside of this loop (subroutine call/return, parameter retrieval, constant matrix setup) is executed only once per call, so did not merit much optimization effort.

Each stage of optimization consisted of:

- Re-ordering instructions for pairing and stall avoidance
- Verifying continued correct operation, by comparing to the C routine
- Using simulation tools to verify that the desired pairings and timings were accomplished

These optimization stages are laid out in the next few pages. Each "listing" here actually represents two or three smaller optimization passes.
4.0. CODE LISTINGS

Listing 1A shows the original MMX routine (MASM assembly language source code with line numbers added), coded for straight-forward understanding and debugging.

(Note: in Listing 1A, lines are spaced to generally show small logical blocks. In other listings, lines are spaced to show super-scalar pairing).

4.1. Listing 1A - Original Straight-Forward Assembly Source Code (Pairing Not Shown)

```assembly
1 INCLUDE iammx.inc ;macros for new MMX instructions
2 .486P ;enable all 486 instructions, FLAT
3 .model FLAT ;single 32-bit flat memory
4 .CODE ;begin code portion of segment
5 _MxV_mmx PROC PUBLIC ;MSVC linker mangles name to _MxV_mmx
;C requires ebp,esi,edi preserved. This routine doesn't use them.
;get pointers to parameters
6 mov  eax,[esp]+ 4 ;eax = ptr to matrix
7 mov ebx,[esp]+ 8 ;ebx = ptr to vector
8 mov ecx,[esp]+12 ;ecx = numvec
9 mov edx,[esp]+16 ;edx = ptr to result
;Load entire 3x4 matrix
10 movq mm0, 0[eax] ;Matrix row 0 (4 16-bit elements)
11 movq mm1, 8[eax] ;Matrix row 1 (4 16-bit elements)
12 movq mm2,16[eax] ;Matrix row 2 (4 16-bit elements)
13 NextVect:
14 movq mm3,[ebx] ;Load vector (4 16-bit elements)
;Row0 x vector = Result0
15 movq mm4,mm3 ;make working copy of vector
16 pmaddwd mm4,mm0 ;multiply row0 x vector
17 movq mm5,mm4 ;add high and low order 32-bit results
18 psrlq mm5,532 ;Shift 32 to 16; also app-specific <<2
19 paddd mm4,mm5 ;Store first (16-bit) element of result
20 psrad mm4,15-2 ;Row1 x vector = Result1
21 movd [edx],mm4 ;make working copy of vector
22 movq mm4,mm3 ;multiply row0 x vector
23 pmaddwd mm4,mm1 ;add high and low order 32-bit results
24 movq mm5,mm4 ;Store second (16-bit) element of result
25 psrlq mm5,532 ;Row2 x vector = Result2
26 paddd mm4,mm5 ;make working copy of vector
27 psrad mm4,15-2 ;add high and low order 32-bit results
28 movd [edx]+2,mm4 ;Store third (16-bit) element of result
29 movq mm4,mm3 ;Shift 32 to 16; also app-spec <<2
30 pmaddwd mm4,mm2 ;Loop to next vector, or quit
31 movq mm5,mm4 ;add high and low order 32-bit results
32 psrlq mm5,532 ;Store third (16-bit) element of result
33 paddd mm4,mm5 ;Advance to next output vector
34 psrad mm4,15-2
35 movd [edx]+4,mm4
36 add ebx,8
37 add edx,6
```
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38      dec     ecx            ;if not counted through all vectors
39      jnz     NextVect       ; then loop back to do the next one.
40      emms    ;exit multimedia (clear fp reg valids)
41      ret 0
42      _MxV_mmx ENDP
43      END

Listings 1B through 5 are in a format similar to that produced by the Intel WDIS static disassembler and simulator tool. WDIS is useful for analyzing pairing, cycle counts and most stall penalties. Figure 5 shows this format: the two instructions (InstrA and InstrB) are displayed with no intervening blank line to indicate that they were paired. InstrB has a cycle count of "1-1" (one minus one, or zero), meaning it executes in the same cycle as InstrA. The other columns show the explanations for non-pairing and for stall penalties. (For a full explanation of WDIS, refer to the WDIS documentation).

Figure 5. WDIS trace output format

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Instruction</th>
<th>Clocks</th>
<th>Reason/non-pair</th>
<th>Stall Penalties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>instrA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>instrB</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Cycles:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Listing 1B shows the simulation results for the critical loop from Listing 1A.

4.2. Listing 1B - Critical Loop from Listing 1A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Instruction</th>
<th>Clocks</th>
<th>Reason no-pair</th>
<th>Stall Penalties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NextVect:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>movq mm3, [ebx]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>BBstart, MM-Int</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>movq mm4, mm3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DepExpSrc_mm3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>pmaddwd mm4, mm0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DepExpDst_mm4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>movq mm5, mm4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>DepExpSrc_mm4</td>
<td>PMUL_Dep:2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>psrlq mm5, 32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DepExpDst_mm5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>paddb mm4, mm5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DepExpSrc_mm5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>psrad mm4, 13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DepExpDst_mm4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>movd [edx], mm4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>DepExpSrc_mm4, MM-Int</td>
<td>MM_MOV_Dep:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>movq mm4, mm3</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>pmaddwd mm4, mm1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>movq mm5, mm5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>DepExpSrc_mm4</td>
<td>MM_PMUL_Dep:2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>psrlq mm5, 32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DepExpDst_mm5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>paddb mm4, mm5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DepExpSrc_mm5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>psrad mm4, 13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DepExpDst_mm4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>movd [edx+2], mm4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>DepExpSrc_mm4, MM-Int</td>
<td>MM_MOV_Dep:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>movq mm4, mm3</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>pmaddwd mm4, mm2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>movq mm5, mm4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>DepExpSrc_mm4</td>
<td>MM_PMUL_Dep:2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>psrlq mm5, 32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DepExpDst_mm5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>paddb mm4, mm5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DepExpSrc_mm5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>psrad mm4, 13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DepExpDst_mm4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>movd [edx+4], mm4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>DepExpSrc_mm4, MM-Int</td>
<td>MM_MOV_Dep:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>add ebx, 8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PrevMM-Int</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>add edx, 6</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>dec ecx</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>jnz NextVect</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Total Cycles: 31

The critical loop time was 31 cycles. Of the 26 instructions, only four (at line# 9, 16, 24, and 26) were paired, producing a Cycles Per Instruction (CPI) measure of about 1.2. Given the Pentium processor's two pipelines, the ideal CPI would be 0.5. This suggests that better pairing should be possible.

The routine was re-written to allow pairing at lines 4, 5, 6, 11, 12, 13 and 18, 19, 20. Reversing register usage eliminated dependencies. Several other simple re-ordering attempts failed to produce better pairing than that shown in Listing 2.

4.3. Listing 2 - Last Optimization Step (With Complete Rows Still Done Sequentially)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Instruction</th>
<th>Clocks</th>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>no-pair</th>
<th>Stall Penalties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>movq mm4, [ebx]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>movq mm3, mm4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DepExpSrc_mm4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>pmaddwd mm4, mm0</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>movq mm5, mm4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>MM_PMUL_Dep:2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>psrlq mm4, 32</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>padd mm5, mm4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>psrad mm5, 13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DepExpDst_mm5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>movd [edx], mm5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>DepExpSrc_mm5,MM-Int MM_MOV_Dep:1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>movq mm4, mm3</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>pmaddwd mm4, mm1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>movq mm5, mm4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>DepExpSrc_mm4 MM_PMUL_Dep:2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>psrlq mm4, 32</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>padd mm5, mm4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>psrad mm5, 13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DepExpDst_mm5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>movd [edx+2], mm5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>DepExpSrc_mm5,MM-Int MM_MOV_Dep:1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>movq mm4, mm3</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>pmaddwd mm4, mm2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>movq mm5, mm4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>DepExpSrc_mm4 MM_PMUL_Dep:2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>psrlq mm4, 32</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>padd mm5, mm4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>psrad mm5, 13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DepExpDst_mm5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>movd [edx+4], mm5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>DepExpSrc_mm5,MM-Int MM_MOV_Dep:1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>add ebx, 8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PrevMM-Int</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>add edx, 6</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>dec ecx</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>jnz NextVect</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Cycles: 27

At this point, pairing has decreased the CPI to about 1.0, for a total of 27 cycles. Not much more can be done with this routine, while maintaining the existing sequence of finishing one row before starting the next. Besides non-paired instructions, two trouble spots are particularly costly:

1. The two-cycle stalls (denoted by 3) in lines 3-4, 10-11 and 17-18. These delays occur once for each row, because each row's final shift, add, and write depend on the results of its PMADDWD, which takes three cycles to complete.

2. The one-cycle stalls (denoted by 2) in lines 7-8, 14-15 and 22-23. These delays occur once for each row, because the MMX register data is not ready one cycle in advance, as required by the MMX memory-write instruction.

As the two-cycle (PMADDWD) stall is worse, it is advantageous to deal with it first, then deal with any remaining stalls later.
Avoiding each two-cycle stall, or dead time, amounts to finding some useful work (instructions) to take place during the two cycles after each PMADDWD issues. But, within the calculations of any one of the three rows, each instruction is dependent on the previous one, so none of these can be re-ordered. The solution is a more complete re-structuring of the entire routine.

Due to the dependencies in this algorithm, the only instruction that can be executed without delay after a PMADDWD instruction, is the PMADDWD instruction of a different row of \( M \). Note that, while a given PMADDWD instruction takes three cycles to complete, succeeding PMADDWD instructions may be issued every cycle. After the third PMADDWD instruction is issued, the results from the first will be available (more MMX registers must be used to simultaneously hold the three separate rows' data). This general notion of staggering (or pipelining) the three rows' calculations can hold through the subsequent calculations, avoiding other dependencies, as shown in Figure 6.

**Figure 6. Staggered Calculations Avoid Latency and Data Dependency Stalls**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calculations →</th>
<th>row0</th>
<th>row1</th>
<th>row2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMADDWD</td>
<td>PMADDWD</td>
<td>PMADDWD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIFT, ADD</td>
<td>SHIFT, ADD</td>
<td>SHIFT, ADD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCALE</td>
<td>SCALE</td>
<td>SCALE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STORE</td>
<td>STORE</td>
<td>STORE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Listing 3 shows this new algorithm structure.

### 4.4. Listing 3 - First Version of New (Staggered Calculation) Algorithm Structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Instruction</th>
<th>Clocks</th>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>no-pair</th>
<th>Stall Penalties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>movq mm3, [ebx]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>movq mm4, mm3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>DepExpSrc_mm3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>movq mm5, mm4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>DepExpSrc_mm4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>pmaddwd mm3, mm0</td>
<td>1- 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MM_Multiplier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>pmaddwd mm4, mm1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>pmaddwd mm5, mm2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>MM_Multiplier</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>movq mm6, mm3</td>
<td>2 - 1</td>
<td>MM_PMUL_Dep:1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>psrlq mm3, 32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>paddd mm3, mm6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>DepExpDst_mm3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>movq mm6, mm4</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>psrlq mm4, 32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>paddd mm4, mm6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>DepExpDst_mm4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>movq mm6, mm5</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>psrlq mm5, 32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>paddd mm5, mm6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>pExpDst_mm5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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16    psrad mm3, 13  1 - 1
17    psrad mm4, 13  1
18    psrad mm5, 13  1 Shift
19    movd edx], mm3  1 M-Int
20    movd [edx+2], mm4  1 MM-Int
21    movd [edx+4], mm5  1 MM-Int
22    add edx, 6  1 PrevMM-Int
23    add ebx, 8  1 - 1
24    dec ecx  1
25 jnz NextVect  1 - 1

Total Cycles: 19

This restructuring immediately reduces cycle count by about one third: Instructions are reduced to 25, since moves between MMX registers are done more efficiently. Seven instructions are paired. CPI is about 0.8, for a total cycle count of 19.

The overall staggered (pipelined) structure eliminates not only the PMADDWD stalls, but also the MMX-memory stalls (Listing 2, lines 8, 15, and 22). The stall at line 7 appears only because the instruction was (unexpectedly) paired with the third PMADDWD. This will be resolved in the final version. (Note: during the next one or two optimization passes, it may be useful to insert a NOP instruction after line 6, to force the later instructions to pair differently. By the final optimization pass, the NOP ought to be replaced by some useful instruction.)

The next step is to pair as many remaining instructions as possible (the big restructuring of the latest step produced new opportunities):

Instruction 20 is hidden by moving it above instruction 18. More pairing of this sort is not allowed, since the MMX register data from the shifts must be available one cycle in advance.

Instruction 22 is hidden by moving it up, to pair with instruction 6. (The previous pairing of instruction 7 with instruction 6 did not save any cycles because of a data dependency stall). The ADD EDX,6 can precede the MOVD [EDX],mm instructions, if all MOVD addresses are adjusted by -6.

Instruction 23 is hidden by moving it up to pair with instruction 11.

The result is shown in Listing 4.

4.5. Listing 4 - Staggered Structure, Paired

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Instruction</th>
<th>Clocks</th>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>no-pair</th>
<th>Stall Penalties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NextVect:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>movq mm3, [ebx]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>movq mm4, mm3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DepExpSrc_mm3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>pmaddwd mm3, mm0</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>movq mm5, mm4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>pmaddwd mm4, mm1</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>pmaddwd mm5, mm2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>add ebx, 6</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>movq mm6, mm3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>psrlq mm3, 32</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>padd mm3, mm6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>movq mm6, mm4</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>psrlq mm4, 32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Cycles: 19
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13    add edx, 8
14    paddb mm4, mm6
15    movq mm6, mm5
16    psrlq mm5, 32
17    paddd mm5, mm6
18    psrad mm3, 13
19    psrad mm4, 13
20    movd [edx-6], mm3
21    psrad mm5, 13
22    movd [edx-4], mm4
23    movd [edx-2], mm5
24    dec ecx
25    jnz NextVect

Total Cycles: 15

The resulting loop time is 15 cycles. This is less than half of the original cycle count of 31 (see Listing 1B). CPI is 0.6, which is close to ideal.

4.6. Dynamic Simulation

At this point, all stalls appear to have been eliminated but, in reality, one problem area remains: misaligned memory writes. Writes from MMX registers (lines 20, 22, and 23) are 32 bits (even though this routine only uses 16-bits from each). When writing to 4-byte mis-aligned addresses, which happens every other write, a three cycle stall occurs. These stalls do not show up in the WDIS static disassembler, because it does not know the runtime values of [EDX]. The Intel CCMMX dynamic simulator (operating on traces generated by the Intel KTR tracer) shows these stalls. They cost 4.5 cycles per row (beyond the 15 shown by WDIS), increasing loop time by 30%.

The stalls can be eliminated by writing only to 4-byte boundaries. One way to do this is to use 32 bits to store the first two 16-bit results, and 32 bits to store the third 16-bit result. Half of the second 32 its is unused, so output memory consumption is 33% more. This method is shown in Listing 5.

4.7. Listing 5 - Staggered Structure, Repacking Three 16-Bit Results in Two 32-Bit Writes, Paired

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Instruction</th>
<th>Clocks</th>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>no-pair</th>
<th>Stall Penalties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NextVect:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>movq mm3, [ebx]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>BBstart, MM-Int</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>movq mm4, mm3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DepExpSrc_mm3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>pmaddwd mm3, mm0</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>movq mm5, mm4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>pmaddwd mm4, mm1</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>pmaddwd mm5, mm2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>add ebx, 8</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>movq mm6, mm3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>psrlq mm3, 32</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>paddd mm3, mm6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>movq mm6, mm4</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>psrlq mm4, 32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>dd edx, 8</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>psrad mm3, 13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>paddd mm4, mm6</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>psrad mm4, 13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The PUNPCKLWD instruction (line 19) is used to move word0 of MM4 into word1 of MM3. Both 16-bit results are then stored together (line 22). Careful pairing allows this extra functionality without increasing the cycle count. (Notice that PUNPCKLWD uses the MMX technology "shift" functional block, which is used in every cycle from line 8 to line 21.)

This is probably close to the optimum code for this particular problem. An even more memory-efficient solution could be done by packing two 16-bit results into every 32-bit memory location. This would requires unrolling the loop, since one loop produces only three results.

### 4.8. Comparison to C Code

As a general point of comparison, it is interesting to observe the cycle counts of equivalent compiler-optimized C code. An integer and a floating-point matrix multiply routine were each coded in C, as shown in Figure 7.

**Figure 7. Matrix-Vector Multiply Routine In C.**

```c
for (i=0; i<200; i++)
{
    R[i][0] = M[0][0]*V[i][0] + M[0][1]*V[i][1] + M[0][2]*V[i][2] +
               M[0][3]*V[i][3];
    R[i][1] = M[1][0]*V[i][0] + M[1][1]*V[i][1] + M[1][2]*V[i][2] +
               M[1][3]*V[i][3];
               M[2][3]*V[i][3];
}
```

The Microsoft Visual C++ (MSVC) compiler was set to generate code optimized for "speed" and "Pentium" processor. Then cycle counts were traced for the cases of data already in cache (hot) and data not in cache (cold). As shown in Figure 8, the MMX routine ran about three times faster than the floating-point C code, and 5 to 10 times faster than the integer C code.

**Figure 8. Comparison of C (non-MMX) vs. MMX™ Technology Cycle Times**

(Numbers are cycles per matrix-vector multiply)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Hot cache</th>
<th>Cold cache</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C Integer</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C floating point</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.9. Demonstration Program

A simple program (3DGeom.EXE, 3DGeom.C) is included to demonstrate the use of MMX technology to perform 3D geometry transform calculations. The program is written in Microsoft Visual C and runs under a 32-bit windows operating system, such as Microsoft Windows 95. Its 3D data consists of 16 vertices, formed into four polygons, chosen to form a cube. As the user changes geometry parameters (rotation, translation, etc.) with mouse and keyboard, the cube data is transformed and redrawn in real time. A window menu option allows the user to select either scalar integer (C) or SIMD integer (MMX) to perform the 3D geometry transforms. (Note: the "MMX" setting will not function without a Pentium Processor with MMX Technology). The program was purposely sized and scaled to represent the limitations of integer arithmetic. The integer range is mapped to the window edges. Moving any vertex beyond a window edge causes it to "wrap around."

More instructions are included in a window menu option in the program.
5.0. CONCLUSIONS

This study has demonstrated how MMX technology can be successfully used to accelerate 3D geometry transforms. The MMX instruction set was shown to map well to 3D graphics calculations. The process of hand optimization was detailed. Hand-optimized code was on the order of twice as fast as naive (un-optimized) code. The optimized MMX code ran at least three times faster than equivalent compiler-optimized C (scalar) code. This order of speedup is significant for compute-bound operations.

While the exact requirements of particular graphics applications will vary, MMX technology is generally applicable. When applied to stages of a graphics pipeline such as geometry, lighting, and rendering, Intel's MMX technology provides an opportunity for a new level of software graphics performance on PCs.
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APPENDIX A

The following is a source listing (MASM 6.11d format) of the completed MMX routine.

Matrix-vector multiplication for 3D geometry transforms are shown.

The lines in the critical loop are spaced to show actual pairing.

INCLUDE iammx.inc ;to parse MMX instructions
.486P ;enable all 486 instructions (enable FLAT)
.model FLAT ;single 32-bit flat memory segment
.CODE
_MxV_mmx PROC PUBLIC ;MSVC linker mangles MxV_mmx to _MxV_mmx
;C requires ebp,esi,edi preserved. This routine doesn't use them.
;get pointers to parameters
mov eax,[esp]+ 4 ;eax = ptr to matrix
mov ebx,[esp]+ 8 ;ebx = ptr to vector
mov ecx,[esp]+12 ;ecx = numvec
mov edx,[esp]+16 ;edx = ptr to result
;Load entire 3x4 matrix
movq mm0, 0[eax] ;Matrix row 0 (4 16-bit elements)
movq mm1, 8[eax] ;Matrix row 1 (4 16-bit elements)
movq mm2,16[eax] ;Matrix row 2 (4 16-bit elements)
NextVect:
movq mm3,[ebx] ;Load vector (4 16-bit elements) into reg
movq mm4,mm3 ;copy to other regs for use by 3 pmadds
pmaddwd mm3,mm0 ;multiply row0 X vector
movq mm5,mm4
pmaddwd mm4,mm1 ;multiply row1 X vector
pmaddwd mm5,mm0 ;multiply row2 X vector
add ebx,8 ;increment to next input vector
movq mm6,mm3 ;add row0 high and low order 32-bit results
psrlq mm3,32 ;
paddb mm3,mm6 ;
movq mm6,mm4 ;add row1 high and low order 32-bit results
psrlq mm4,32 ;
add edx,8 ;increment (in advance) to next result
psrad mm3,15-2 ;Shift 32 to 16; also app. specific <<2
paddb mm4,mm6 ;
psrad mm4,15-2 ;Shift 32 to 16; also app. specific <<2
movq mm6,mm5 ;add row2 high and low order 32-bit results
psrlq mm5,32 ;
punpcklwd mm3,mm4 ;Copy word0 of mm4 into word0 of mm3
paddb mm5,mm6 ;
pssadb mm5,15-2 ;Shift 32 to 16; also app. specific <<2
movd [edx]-8+0,mm3 ;Store 1st and 2nd elements, one 32-bit write
movd [edx]-8+4,mm5 ;Store 3rd (and unused 4th) 16-bit element
dec ecx ;if not counted through all the vectors
jnz NextVect ;then loop back to do the next one.
emms ;end MMX stae (remove fp reg valids)
ret 0
_MxV_mmx ENDP
END
APPENDIX B

The following is a source listing (MSVC 2.0 format) of the C program used to test the MMX optimized code.

/*
3DMMX test driver   JAS 1/96
*/
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h> //for rand, srand
#include <time.h> //for time
#define NumVec 200
/* Function prototypes */
void MxV_mmx(short matrix[3][4], short vector[NumVec][4], short NV, short result[NumVec][4]);
void MxV_sca(short matrix[3][4], short vector[NumVec][4], short NV, short result[NumVec][4]);

/* Declared globally for easy 8-byte alignment */
short matrix[3][4];
short vector[NumVec][4];
short result_mmx[NumVec][4];
short result_sca[NumVec][4];

/* Main */
void main( )
{
int  h,i,j;
char dummy;
short  vec_bak[NumVec][4];
short  mat_bak[3][4];
int  mat_err,vec_err;
/* Notify, If any vars are mis-aligned */
if ( ((long)matrix %8) || ((long)vector %8) || ((long)result_mmx%8) || ((long)result_sca%8) )
{
printf("'matrix ' is at %ld\n",(long)vector %8);
printf("'vector ' is at %ld\n",(long)vector %8);
printf("'result_mmx' is at %ld\n",(long)result_mmx%8);
printf("'result_sca' is at %ld\n",(long)result_sca%8);
printf("\n[ENTER] to continue\n");  dummy=getchar( );
}
/* Initialize input variables to random values */
srand((unsigned)time(NULL));  //reseed random number generator
for (i=0; i<3 ; i++)  for (j=0; j<4; j++)
  matrix[i][j] = (-16384 + rand())>>2;
for (h=0; h<NumVec; h++)  for (j=0; j<4; j++)
  vector[h][j] = (-16384 + rand())>>2;
/* Save backup for error check */
for (i=0; i<3 ; i++)  for (j=0; j<4; j++)  mat_bak[i][j]=matrix[i][j];
for (h=0; h<NumVec; h++)  for (j=0; j<4; j++)  vec_bak[h][j]=vector[h][j];
mat_err = vec_err = 0;
/* Call the MMX technology version */
MxV_mmx(matrix, vector, NumVec, result_mmx);
/* Error check: make sure input data didn't get clobbered */
for (i=0; i<3; i++)
  for (j=0; j<4; j++)
    mat_err+= (matrix[i][j]!=mat_bak[i][j]);
for (h=0; h<NumVec; h++)
  for (j=0; j<4; j++)
    vec_err+= (vector[h][j]!=vec_bak[h][j]);
if (mat_err)
  {
    printf("Error: MMX altered Matrix\nPress [ENTER]\n");
    dummy=getchar();
  }
if (vec_err)
  {
    printf("Error: MMX altered Vector\nPress [ENTER]\n");
    dummy=getchar();
  }
/* Call the scalar version */
MxV_sca (matrix, vector, NumVec, result_sca);
/* Display and compare the results from each version */
for (h=0; h<NumVec; h++)
  {
    printf("#%2d: Sca= %6d %6d %6d \t %6d %6d %6d =MMx ",
           h, result_sca[h][0], result_sca[h][1], result_sca[h][2],
           result_mmx[h][0], result_mmx[h][1], result_mmx[h][2]);
    if ( (result_sca[h][0]!=result_mmx[h][0]) ||
         (result_sca[h][1]!=result_mmx[h][1]) ||
         (result_sca[h][2]!=result_mmx[h][2]) )
      printf(" Error! \7");
    printf("\n");
  }
printf ("\n[ENTER] to continue\n");
dummy=getchar();
} /*
** C (scalar integer) version of matrix x vector multiply
** Simulate the MMX technology results
*/
void MxV_sca (short M[3][4], short V[NumVec][4], short nv, short result[NumVec][4])
{
  short h,i,j;
  long sum;
  for (h=0; h<nv; h++)
    {
      for (i=0; i<3; i++)
        {
          sum = 0;
          for (j=0; j<4; j++)
            {
              sum += (long)M[i][j] * V[h][j];
            }
          result[h][i] = (short)(sum>>13);
        }
    }
}