Will your app run faster with more cores?

Two Tools Measure the Performance Scalability of Your Application

Q: Will my software performance scale if it is run on a large number of cores? How do I know if my code is or isn’t parallel enough to take advantage of more cores?

Measure your application’s concurrency

Don’t guess, measure. Measurement is a key part of any performance plan. Applications don’t always behave as we expect. Accurately measuring what your app is really doing is required to develop an effective plan for scalability.

Intel® VTune™ Amplifier XE (part of Intel® Parallel Studio XE) has multiple profiling tools. Running just two of these will give you an accurate picture of how you are doing on today’s multicore systems and highlight scaling bottlenecks.

The first tool, concurrency analysis, answers the question: For a given workload, what amount of time is the app running serially and what amount is in parallel? This gives a measure of best case performance scaling. Parallel code may scale, serial code will not. Concurrency analysis tells you the maximum performance you can achieve if all your parallel code scales perfectly.
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Intel VTune Amplifier XE Concurrency Summary

This is a breakdown of elapsed time of an application running on a 4 core processor. It shows the amount of wall clock time where a specific number of threads are running simultaneously. Threads are considered running if they are either actually running on a CPU or are in a runnable state in the OS scheduler.

This particular app will not scale well. The locks and waits analysis in the next figure will help us see why.

The second tool, locks and waits analysis, will tell you if the threads you do have are doing a good job of sharing resources. If you have a lot of contention, then you want to reduce it. This will both improve performance on current multicore systems and increase performance scalability on systems with more cores.
Locks & Waits Analysis

Here we can clearly see the problem. It was designed so that 4 threads would be running most of the time, but there are two issues. First, there are 26.97 seconds of idle time because a lock (stream) is being held during I/O. Second, there is a lot of synchronization overhead.

The grid at the top is a list of synchronization objects sorted by wait time. The color coding shows the processor utilization during the wait. A long wait is ok if the processors are well utilized (green) during the wait. In this example, the processors are poorly utilized (red) or idle (grey) during the waits. The bottom row in the grid (stream) shows the idle time during I/O.

The timeline in the bottom half shows the synchronization as many yellow transitions between the running threads. Consequently, the actual concurrency (bottom row of timeline) is very low.

Will it scale?

Now that you have a picture of how your app is behaving it is time to reflect on a few basic design issues.

Does the number of threads adjust to match the hardware?

Have you designed your parallelism so that the number of threads can be automatically or easily adjusted to match the optimum number of threads the hardware can run? If there are too few threads, you won’t take advantage of the hardware. Too many and execution is inefficient. If you have used a high level model for parallelism like Intel® TBB this is automatic. If you have done your own OS level threading, it is something you must manage.

Will the granularity be correct for a large number of cores?

The workload for each thread needs to be heavy enough that you get payback for the thread overhead. You can measure this using the concurrency analysis in VTune Amplifier XE, or you can just time program execution. Let’s say that the measurements you make indicate that your workload is appropriate when you run it on a 16 core system. (Appropriate scaling is your decision – are you comfortable with 10X scaling on 16 cores? Or do you expect 12X or 14X? Different projects have different scaling expectations.) Your application is performing well, but you want to know what will happen when you move to a 64 core system. Try re-analyzing your application on today’s system, but re-size the workload so it matches the
size per core you will use on the future system with more cores. If the threading overhead is still reasonable, then your granularity will most likely be fine in the future.

**Does the synchronization overhead increase?**

When the number of threads increases, does the number of synchronization points increase? If so, is the synchronization local or global? If the number of synchronization points does not increase or if the increase is local and not global, then your synchronization overhead is likely to be fine. If you are unsure, run an experiment with more threads and view the synchronization using Intel® VTune™ Amplifier XE.

As you work through these design issues, it is a good time to think about your model for parallelism. One of the easiest ways to design for scalability is to adopt a higher level model for parallelism.

**Express parallelism at a higher level**

We are all familiar with the productivity advantages of writing software in high level languages instead of in assembly. The same is true for parallelism. Using low level constructs like Pthreads and Windows® Threads is equivalent to writing assembly. You have maximum control, but productivity suffers and performance optimizations may need to change with new hardware.

No one high-level construct for parallelism is best for all applications. There are a number of high level constructs depending upon your needs.

**OpenMP** is good choice if you are already using it. If you are not using it, there are newer constructs that have advantages. One major limitation of OpenMP is that it does not mix well with other threading implementations because it does not share a common threading pool. Still, it is a good solution if you already have a major investment in it.

**Intel® Threading Building Blocks** is a library based solution for C++. It uses task-based abstractions that make it easier to get scalable and reliable parallel applications. With Intel® TBB as your parallel development model you have a known scalable solution that will be optimized for new generations of hardware. Commercial versions of Intel® TBB are available for Windows®, Linux® and Mac OS®. Or use the open source version.

**Intel® Cilk™ Plus** is an extension to C and C++. The three Intel Cilk Plus keywords provide a simple yet surprisingly powerful model for parallel programming, while runtime and template libraries offer a well-tuned environment for building parallel applications. Intel® Cilk™ Plus is now available in Intel Parallel Studio XE, open-source and for GCC 4.7.

**Coarrays as defined in the Fortran 2008 standard** allow Fortran developers to program in parallel. As an extension to the Fortran language, coarrays offer one method to use Fortran as a robust and efficient parallel programming language. Coarray Fortran uses a single-program, multi-data programming model (SPMD) and is supported by Intel Parallel Studio XE.

These are just a few examples of the parallel development choices available in Intel Parallel Studio XE. Pick the one that is the best fit for your app. No matter which construct you pick, you see the benefits of moving to a higher level of abstraction. Productivity will increase and the compiler or library that implements the higher level parallelism will optimize performance for new hardware platforms.

**Adding Parallelism**

What happens if your current app is serial, or if your measurements with Intel VTune Amplifier XE indicate that you have significant regions which are still serial? Intel® Parallel Advisor can help. It won’t “automatically” add parallelism, but it will help you make informed decisions.

Providing a step-by-step proposal, Intel Parallel Advisor helps Microsoft Visual Studio® C++ developers simplify, demystify, and speed parallel application design and implementation. This C threading and C++ threading tool also evaluates the performance and correctness of that proposal, providing insight that helps you make better design decisions before major effort has been committed.
Try it yourself

Download a free evaluation copy of Intel® Parallel Studio XE. It contains:

- Intel® VTune™ Amplifier XE performance analyzer
- Parallel development models including Intel® Cilk™ Plus and Intel® Threading Building Blocks (Intel® TBB)
- Intel® Inspector XE memory and thread checker
- Optimizing compilers and performance libraries

Download a free evaluation copy of Intel® Parallel Advisor for Windows*. Purchase of Intel Parallel Studio XE for Windows* includes a license for Intel Parallel Advisor (separate download).

Suggested Reading

- Intel Guide for Developing Multithreaded Applications
- Webinar – “The Key to Scaling Applications for Multicore”
- Intel® TBB white papers:
  - Enable safe, scalable parallelism with Intel® TBB concurrent containers
  - Demystify scalable parallelism with Intel® TBB generic parallel algorithms
  - Intel® TBB: Scalable programming for multi-core
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